

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ITAL UOMO OF NEW YORK, INC.,	:	Civil Action No. _____
Individually and on Behalf of All Others	:	
Similarly Situated,	:	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
vs.	:	COMPLAINT
	:	
STARR INDEMNITY & LIABILITY	:	
COMPANY and STARR INTERNATIONAL	:	
COMPANY, INC.,	:	<u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>
	:	
Defendants.	:	

Ital Uomo of New York, Inc. (“Plaintiff”), by way of Complaint against Starr Indemnity & Liability Company and Starr International Company, Inc. (collectively “Defendants”) alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. On March 11, 2020, World Health Organization (“WHO”) Director General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus declared the COVID-19 outbreak a worldwide pandemic: “WHO has been assessing this outbreak around the clock and we are deeply concerned both by the alarming levels of spread and severity, and by the alarming levels of inaction. We have therefore made the assessment that COVID-19 can be characterized as a pandemic.”¹

2. On March 16, 2020, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (“CDC”), and members of the national Coronavirus Task Force issued to the American public guidance, styled as “30 Days to Slow the Spread” for stopping the spread of COVID-19. This guidance advised individuals to adopt far-reaching social distancing measures, such as working from home, avoiding shopping trips and gatherings of more than ten people, and staying away from bars, restaurants, and food courts.²

3. Following this advice for individuals to adopt far-reaching social distancing measures, and in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, many state government administrations across the nation recognized the need to take steps to protect the health and safety of their residents from the human-to-human and surface-to-human spread of COVID-19. As a result, many

¹ See World Health Organization, <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020> (last visited May 11, 2020).

² See The White House, https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/03.16.20_coronavirus-guidance_8.5x11_315PM.pdf (last visited May 11, 2020).

governmental entities entered civil authority orders suspending or severely curtailing business operations of non-essential businesses that interact with the public and provide gathering places for individuals. Currently, almost all states within the United States have issued some sort of “stay-at-home” order and have ordered private non-essential business operations to close.

4. The result of these far-reaching restrictions and prohibitions has been catastrophic for many businesses, especially restaurants and other foodservice businesses, as well as retail establishments, entertainment venues, and other small, medium, and large businesses who have been forced to close, furlough employees, and endure a sudden shutdown of cash flow that threatens their survival.

5. Most businesses insure against such catastrophic events like the current unforeseen COVID-19 pandemic through all-risk commercial property insurance policies. These policies promise to indemnify the policyholder for actual business losses incurred when business operations are involuntarily suspended, interrupted, curtailed, when access to the premises is prohibited because of direct physical loss or damage to the property, or by a civil authority order that restricts or prohibits access to the property. This coverage is commonly known as “business interruption coverage” and is standard in most all-risk commercial property insurance policies.

6. Defendants, and most insurance companies who have issued all-risk commercial property insurance policies with business interruption coverage, are denying the obligation to pay for business income losses and other covered expenses incurred by policyholders for the physical loss and damage to the insured property from measures put in place by the civil authorities in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. This action seeks a declaratory judgment that affirms that the COVID-19 pandemic and the corresponding response by civil authorities triggers coverage, has caused physical property loss and damage to the insured property, provides coverage for future

civil authority orders that result in future suspensions or curtailments of business operations, and finds that Defendants are liable for the losses suffered by policyholders.

7. In addition, this action brings a claim against Defendants for their breach of their contractual obligation under common all-risk commercial property insurance policies to indemnify Plaintiff and all others similarly situated who have suffered losses due to measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020.

8. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a proposed class of policyholders who paid premiums in exchange for all-risk commercial property insurance policies that included lost business income and extra expense coverage (together with Plaintiff's policy, the "Policies").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d) in that this is a class action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and at least one member of the putative class is a citizen of a different State than that of Defendants.

10. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) in that a substantial part of the events and/or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District, and Defendants do business in this District and thus resides in this District, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §1391(c).

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff Ital Uomo of New York, Inc. is a New York company with its principal place of business in Brooklyn, New York.

12. Plaintiff owns and operates an apparel, and clothing and travel accessory business in New York. Plaintiff operates three stores in New York and two in New Jersey.

13. Defendant Starr Indemnity & Liability Company is a global insurance company, with its principal place of business in New York, New York and is duly licensed to issue insurance in New York, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, California, Washington, Colorado, Arizona, Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, Illinois, Missouri, and Texas.

14. Defendant Starr International Company, Inc. is the parent company to Starr Indemnity & Liability Company, and is incorporated in Zug, Switzerland.

15. Defendants issued to Plaintiff Policy No. 1000352689191 covering the policy period September 1, 2019 through September 1, 2020 (the “Policy”).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Global COVID-19 Pandemic

16. Viruses of the family Coronaviridae, such as Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus (SARS-CoV), have been responsible for the loss of human life since at least 2002 and were identified in several animal hosts.³

17. In December 2019, an initial cluster of nine patients with an unknown cause of viral pneumonia was found to be linked to the Huanan seafood market in Wuhan, China, where many non-aquatic animals such as birds were also on sale. However, one of the patients never visited the market, though he had stayed in a hotel nearby before the onset of the illness.⁴

³ See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/genomic-characterization-of-2019-nCoV-Lancet-1-29-2020.pdf> (last visited May 11, 2020).

⁴ See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/genomic-characterization-of-2019-nCoV-Lancet-1-29-2020.pdf> (As a typical RNA virus, the average evolutionary rate for coronaviruses is roughly 10^{-4} nucleotide substitutions per site per year, with mutations arising during every replication cycle. This finding suggests that 2019-nCoV originated from one source within a short period and was detected rapidly. However, as the virus transmits to more individuals, constant surveillance of mutations arising is needed.

18. By January 2020, genetic sequencing from patient samples was conducted to identify a novel virus, SARS-CoV-2, as the causative agent for the pneumonia cluster.⁵ SARS-CoV-2 is an RNA virus, with a crown-like appearance under an electron microscope because of glycoprotein spikes on its envelope. Among the functions of the structural proteins, the envelope has a crucial role in virus pathogenicity as it promotes viral assembly and release.⁶

19. The first confirmed case of the virus outside China was diagnosed on January 13, 2020, in Bangkok, Thailand with the number of cases exceedingly increasing worldwide. On January 30, 2020, the WHO declared that the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak constituted a public health emergency of international concern, and by February 11, 2020, the disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 was named “COVID-19” by the WHO Director-General.⁷ As of April 23, 2020, the WHO reported a confirmed 2.5 million cases of COVID-19 globally and over 170,000 deaths, with the United States dealing with more than 800,000 confirmed cases and 40,000 deaths - more than any other country.⁸

20. The clinical features of COVID-19 vary from asymptomatic forms to fatal conditions of severe respiratory failure that require ventilation and support in an intensive care unit

The fact that at least one patient had not visited the market suggested either possible droplet transmission or that the patient was infected by a currently unknown source. Evidence of clusters of infected family members and medical workers has now confirmed the presence of human-to-human transmission.) (last visited May 11, 2020).

⁵ See MDPI AG, <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/8/2690> (last visited May 11, 2020).

⁶ See MDPI AG, <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/8/2690> (To address the pathogenetic mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2, its viral structure and genome must be considered. Coronaviruses are enveloped positive strand RNA viruses with the largest known RNA genomes—30–32 kb—with a 50 -cap structure and 30 -poly-A tail.) (last visited May 11, 2020).

⁷ See MDPI AG, <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/8/2690> (last visited May 11, 2020).

⁸ See World Health Organization, <https://covid19.who.int/> (last visited May 11, 2020).

(“ICU”). Pneumonia has been the most frequent severe manifestation of COVID-19, with symptoms of fever, cough, dyspnea, and bilateral infiltrates on chest imaging.⁹ There are no specific treatments recommended for COVID-19, and no vaccine is currently available; thus, understanding the complexities of COVID-19 is ongoing.¹⁰

21. It has now been discovered by scientists that COVID-19 has several modes of transmission. Pursuant to a “Situation Report” released by the WHO, the virus can be transmitted through symptomatic transmission, presymptomatic transmission, or asymptomatic transmission.¹¹ Symptomatic transmission refers to transmission by an individual who is experiencing symptoms associated with the virus who then transfers COVID-19 to another individual. Data from published studies provide evidence that COVID-19 is primarily transmitted

⁹ See MDPI AG, <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/8/2690> (Asymptomatic infections have also been described, but their frequency is unknown. Other, less common symptoms have included headaches, sore throat, and rhinorrhea. Along with respiratory symptoms, gastrointestinal symptoms (*e.g.*, nausea and diarrhea) have also been reported, and in some patients they may be the presenting complaint.) (last visited May 11, 2020).

¹⁰ See MDPI AG, <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/8/2690> (The treatment is symptomatic, and oxygen therapy represents the major treatment intervention for patients with severe infection. Mechanical ventilation may be necessary in cases of respiratory failure refractory to oxygen therapy, whereas hemodynamic support is essential for managing septic shock. Different strategies can be used depending on the severity of the patient and local epidemiology. Home management is appropriate for asymptomatic or paucisymptomatic patients. They need a daily assessment of body temperature, blood pressure, oxygen saturation and respiratory symptoms for about 14 days. Management of such patients should focus on prevention of transmission to others and monitoring for clinical status with prompt hospitalization if needed.) (last visited May 11, 2020).

¹¹ See World Health Organization, https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200402-sitrep-73-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=5ae25bc7_2 (last visited May 11, 2020).

from symptomatic people to others who are in close contact through respiratory droplets, by direct contact with infected persons, or by contact with contaminated objects and surfaces.¹²

22. The incubation period for COVID-19, which is the time between exposure to the virus (becoming infected) and symptom onset, averages 5-6 days, however, it can be up to 14 days.¹³ During this period, also known as the “presymptomatic” period, some infected persons can be contagious. For that reason, transmission from a presymptomatic case can occur before symptom onset. Presymptomatic transmission still requires the virus to be spread through infectious droplets or touching contaminated surfaces.¹⁴

23. An individual who does not develop symptoms, an asymptomatic case of COVID-19, may still be able to transmit the virus to another, and laboratory-confirmed reports have demonstrated this method of transmission.¹⁵

¹² See World Health Organization, https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200402-sitrep-73-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=5ae25bc7_2 (Data from clinical and virologic studies that have collected repeated biological samples from confirmed patients provide evidence that shedding of the COVID-19 virus is highest in upper respiratory tract (nose and throat) early in the course of the disease. That is, within the first three days from onset of symptoms. Preliminary data suggests that people may be more contagious around the time of symptom onset as compared to later on in the disease.) (last visited May 11, 2020).

¹³ See World Health Organization, https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200402-sitrep-73-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=5ae25bc7_2 (last visited May 11, 2020).

¹⁴ See World Health Organization, https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200402-sitrep-73-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=5ae25bc7_2 (In a small number of case reports and studies, presymptomatic transmission has been documented through contact tracing efforts and enhanced investigation of clusters of confirmed cases. This is supported by data suggesting that some people can test positive for COVID-19 from 1-3 days before they develop symptoms. Thus, it is possible that people infected with COVID-19 could transmit the virus before significant symptoms develop.) (last visited May 11, 2020).

¹⁵ See World Health Organization, https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200402-sitrep-73-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=5ae25bc7_2 (last visited May 11, 2020).

24. Not only is COVID-19 transmitted via human-to-human, but the WHO and scientific studies have confirmed that the virus can live on contaminated objects or surfaces. According to a study by scientists documented in *The New England Journal of Medicine*, COVID-19 was detectable in aerosols for up to three hours, up to four hours on copper, up to 24 hours on cardboard, and up to two to three days on plastic and stainless steel.¹⁶ All of these materials are used in the preparation and service of food by restaurants. The results of the study suggest that individuals could get COVID-19 through indirect contact with surfaces or objects used by an infected person, whether or not they were symptomatic.

25. Another scientific study documented in the *Journal of Hospital Infection* found that human coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV can remain infectious on inanimate surfaces at room temperature for up to nine days.¹⁷ At a temperature of 30 degrees Celsius or more, the duration of persistence is shorter. Contamination of frequently touched surfaces is, therefore, a potential source of viral transmission.¹⁸ Though this study was not conclusive on COVID-19 itself, scientists are still trying to understand its implication.

¹⁶ See National Institutes of Health, <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/new-coronavirus-stable-hours-surfaces> (last visited May 11, 2020); See World Health Organization, <https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-transmission-of-virus-causing-covid-19-implications-for-ipc-precaution-recommendations> (In the context of COVID-19, airborne transmission may be possible in specific circumstances and settings in which procedures or support treatments that generate aerosols are performed; *i.e.*, endotracheal intubation, bronchoscopy, open suctioning, administration of nebulized treatment, manual ventilation before intubation, turning the patient to the prone position, disconnecting the patient from the ventilator, non-invasive positive-pressure ventilation, tracheostomy, and cardiopulmonary resuscitation.) (last visited May 11, 2020).

¹⁷ See *Journal of Hospital Infection*, <https://www.journalofhospitalinfection.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0195-6701%2820%2930046-3> (last visited May 11, 2020).

¹⁸ See *Journal of Hospital Infection*, <https://www.journalofhospitalinfection.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0195-6701%2820%2930046-3> (Although the viral load of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces is not known during an outbreak situation it seem plausible to reduce the viral load on surfaces by disinfection, especially of frequently touched surfaces in the immediate patient

26. On March 27, 2020, the CDC released a report entitled “*Public Health Responses to COVID-19 Outbreaks on Cruise Ships - Worldwide, February - March 2020.*”¹⁹ The report detailed that during this time frame, COVID-19 outbreaks associated with three different cruise ship voyages caused over 800 confirmed cases and ten deaths.²⁰ Of the individuals tested, a high proportion were found to be asymptomatic, which may explain the high rates of infection on cruise ships. What is interesting about this study though, is that COVID-19 was identified on a variety of surfaces in cabins of both symptomatic and asymptomatic infected passengers up to 17 days after cabins were vacated on the Diamond Princess cruise line, but before disinfection procedures had been conducted.²¹ The CDC notes that more studies are required to understand the

surrounding where the highest viral load can be expected. The WHO recommends “to ensure that environmental cleaning and disinfection procedures are followed consistently and correctly.” (last visited May 11, 2020).

¹⁹ See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6912e3.htm?s_cid=mm6912e3_w (last visited May 11, 2020).

²⁰ See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6912e3.htm?s_cid=mm6912e3_w (During February 7–23, 2020, the largest cluster of COVID-19 cases outside mainland China occurred on the Diamond Princess cruise ship, which was quarantined in the port of Yokohama, Japan, on February 3. On March 6, cases of COVID-19 were identified in persons on the Grand Princess cruise ship off the coast of California; that ship was subsequently quarantined. By March 17, confirmed cases of COVID-19 had been associated with at least 25 additional cruise ship voyages. On February 21, CDC recommended avoiding travel on cruise ships in Southeast Asia; on March 8, this recommendation was broadened to include deferring all cruise ship travel worldwide for those with underlying health conditions and for persons aged ≥ 65 years. On March 13, the Cruise Lines International Association announced a 30-day voluntary suspension of cruise operations in the United States. CDC issued a level 3 travel warning on March 17, recommending that all cruise travel be deferred worldwide.) (last visited May 11, 2020).

²¹ See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6912e3.htm?s_cid=mm6912e3_w (Cruise ships are often settings for outbreaks of infectious diseases because of their closed environment, contact between travelers from many countries, and crew transfers between ships. On the Diamond Princess, transmission largely occurred among passengers before quarantine was implemented, whereas crew infections peaked after quarantine. On the Grand Princess, crew members were likely infected on voyage A and then transmitted SARS-CoV-2 to passengers on voyage B. The results of testing of passengers and

perpetuation of transmission, but what is clear is the uncertainty around COVID-19 and its implications for the lawful and safe functioning of a variety of businesses, most significantly, food service businesses.

27. Without a vaccine to protect against COVID-19, effective control of the outbreak relies on measures designed to reduce human-to-human and surface-to-human exposure. Recent information on the CDC's website provides that COVID-19 spreads when people are within six feet of each other or when a person comes in contact with a surface or object that has the virus on it.²² Various other sources state that close contact with a person with the virus or surfaces where the virus is found can transmit the virus.²³

28. Surface-to-human transmission is particularly acute in places where the public gathers for recreation, for example, to socialize, eat, drink, shop, and be entertained. This is why the CDC recommends that in viral outbreaks individuals who are infected stay at home and those who are not sick engage in preventive measures such as constant hand washing and avoiding

crew on board the Diamond Princess demonstrated a high proportion (46.5%) of asymptomatic infections at the time of testing. Available statistical models of the Diamond Princess outbreak suggest that 17.9% of infected persons never developed symptoms. A high proportion of asymptomatic infections could partially explain the high attack rate among cruise ship passengers and crew. Although these data cannot be used to determine whether transmission occurred from contaminated surfaces, further study of fomite transmission of SARS-CoV-2 aboard cruise ships is warranted.) (last visited May 11, 2020).

²² See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/how-COVID-spreads.html> (last visited May 11, 2020).

²³ See Journal of Hospital Infection, <https://www.journalofhospitalinfection.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0195-6701%2820%2930046-3> (coronavirus strain can remain infectious from two hours to 28 days depending on conditions) (last visited May 11, 2020); see also University of California San Francisco, <https://www.ucsf.edu/news/2020/02/416671/how-new-coronavirus-spreads-and-progresses-and-why-one-test-may-not-be-enough> (doorknobs and table tops can contain the virus) (last visited May 11, 2020); The New York Times, <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/02/health/coronavirus-how-it-spreads.html> (virus can remain on metal and plastic for several days) (last visited May 11, 2020).

activities that would bring them into close proximity of people with the virus or surfaces where the virus may reside. However, because these recommendations have proven ineffective to minimize the spread of COVID-19, containment efforts have led to civil authorities issuing orders closing many business establishments, including restaurants, bars, hotels, theaters, personal care salons, gyms, and schools, and mandating social distancing among the population. This has caused the cancelation of sporting events, parades, and concerts, the closure of amusement parks, and substantial travel restrictions. In addition, to conserve medical supplies, orders have been issued prohibiting the performance of non-urgent or non-emergency elective procedures and surgeries, forcing the suspension of operations at many medical, surgical, therapeutic, and dental practices.

29. Such “stay-at-home” orders are in effect in all but five states: more than 30 states have closed all non-essential businesses with additional states enacting measures to curtail business operations, all 50 states have closed schools, and nearly all states have closed restaurants and bars for services other than take-out and delivery (the “Closure Orders”).²⁴

30. For example, on March 15, 2020, Illinois Governor J.B. Pritzker issued Executive Order 2020-07, stating that “the number of suspected COVID-19 cases in Illinois is increasing exponentially” and mandating that from March 16, 2020 through March 30, 2020, “all businesses in the State of Illinois that offer food or beverages for on-premises consumption – including restaurants, bars, grocery stores, and food halls – must suspend service for and may not permit on-premises consumption.”²⁵ In enacting Executive Order 2020-07, Governor Pritzker noted that

²⁴ See The New York Times, <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/us/coronavirus-stay-at-home-order.html> (last visited May 11, 2020); Kaiser Family Foundation, <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/state-data-and-policy-actions-to-address-coronavirus/> (last visited May 11, 2020).

²⁵ See State of Illinois State Department, <https://www2.illinois.gov/Documents/ExecOrders/2020/ExecutiveOrder-2020-07.pdf> (last visited May 11, 2020).

previous efforts to appeal to the public’s judgment to stay home were ineffective and stated, “[t]he time for persuasion and public appeals is over” and “[t]he time for action is here.”²⁶ On April 1, 2020, Governor Pritzker extended Executive Order 2020-07 through April 30, 2020. As noted, orders similar in form or substance are in effect in states throughout the country.

Defendants’ Standard Uniform All-Risk Commercial Property Insurance Policies

32. The insurance policies Defendants issued to Plaintiff and the Class members are “all risk” commercial property policies which cover loss or damage to the covered premises resulting from all risks other than those expressly excluded.

33. Plaintiff’s Policy, as well as the Policies of other Class members, are standard forms that are used by Defendants for all insureds having applicable coverage and provide identical or substantially similar coverage for all Class members.

PLAINTIFF’S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

31. 34. Among the coverages provided by the Policy was business interruption insurance, which, generally, would indemnify Plaintiff for lost income and profits in the event that its business was shut down.

32. 35. The Businessowners Coverage Form, form BP 00 03 07 13, in Plaintiff’s Policy provides the following:

f. Business Income

(1) Business Income

(a) We will pay for the actual loss of Business Income you sustain due to the necessary suspension of your “operations” during the “period of restoration”. The suspension must be caused by direct physical loss of or damage to property at the described premises. The loss or damage must be caused by or result from a Covered Cause of Loss. With respect to loss of

²⁶ See WGN9, <https://wgntv.com/news/coronavirus/all-bars-restaurants-to-be-closed-to-dine-in-customers-in-illinois-due-to-covid-19-concerns/> (last visited May 11, 2020).

or damage to personal property in the open or personal property in a vehicle, the described premises include the area within 100 feet of such premises.

With respect to the requirements set forth in the preceding paragraph, if you occupy only part of a building, your premises mean:

- (i) The portion of the building which you rent, lease or occupy;
- (ii) The area within 100 feet of the building or within 100 feet of the premises described in the Declarations, whichever distance is greater (with respect to loss of or damage to personal property in the open or personal property in a vehicle); and
- (iii) The area within 100 feet of the building or within 100 feet of the premises described in the Declarations, whichever distance is greater (with respect to loss of or damage to personal property in the open or personal property in a vehicle); and
- (iv) Any area within the building or at the described premises, if that area services, or is used to gain access to, the portion of the building which you rent, lease or occupy.

(b) We will only pay for loss of Business Income that you sustain during the “period of restoration” and that occurs within 12 consecutive months after the date of direct physical loss or damage. We will only pay for ordinary payroll expenses for 60 days following the date of direct physical loss or damage, unless a greater number of days is shown in the Declarations.

(c) Business Income means the:

(i) Net Income (Net Profit or Loss before income taxes) that would have been earned or incurred if no physical loss or damage had occurred, but not including any Net Income that would likely have been earned as a result of an increase in the volume of business due to favorable business conditions caused by the impact of the Covered Cause of Loss on customers or on other businesses; and

(ii) Continuing normal operating expenses incurred, including payroll.

(d) Ordinary payroll expenses:

(i) Means payroll expenses for all your employees except:

- i. Officers;
- ii. Executives;
- iii. Department Managers;
- iv. Employees under contract; and
- v. Additional Exemptions shown in the Declarations as:
 - * Job Classifications; or
 - * Employees.

(ii) Include:

- i. Payroll;
- ii. Employee benefits, if directly related to payroll;
- iii. FICA payments you pay;
- iv. Union dues you pay; and
- v. Workers' compensation premiums.

(2) Extended Business Income

(a) If the necessary suspension of your "operations" produces a Business Income loss payable under this policy, we will pay for the actual loss of Business Income you incur during the period that:

(i) Begins on the date property except finished stock is actually repaired, rebuilt or replaced and "operations" are resumed; and

(ii) Ends on the earlier of:

- i. The date you could restore your "operations", with reasonable speed, to the level which would generate the Business Income amount that would have existed if no direct physical loss or damage had occurred; or

- ii. 60 consecutive days after the date determined in Paragraph (a)(i) above, unless a greater number of consecutive days is shown in the Declarations.

However, Extended Business Income does not apply to loss of Business Income incurred as a result of unfavorable business conditions caused by the impact of the Covered Cause of Loss in the area where the described premises are located.

(b) Loss of Business Income must be caused by direct physical loss or damage at the described premises caused by or resulting from any Covered Cause of Loss.

(3) With respect to the coverage provided in this Additional Coverage, suspension means:

(a) The partial slowdown or complete cessation of your business activities; or

(b) That a part or all of the described premises is rendered untenable, if coverage for Business Income applies.

(4) This Additional Coverage is not subject to the Limits of Insurance of Section I – Property.

g. Extra Expense

(1) We will pay necessary Extra Expense you incur during the “period of restoration” that you would not have incurred if there had been no direct physical loss or damage to property at the described premises. The loss or damage must be caused by or result from a Covered Cause of Loss. With respect to loss of or damage to personal property in the open or personal property in a vehicle, the described premises include the area within 100 feet of such premises.

With respect to the requirements set forth in the preceding paragraph, if you occupy only part of a building, your premises mean:

(a) The portion of the building which you rent, lease or occupy;

(b) The area within 100 feet of the building or within 100 feet of the premises described in the Declarations, whichever distance is greater

(with respect to loss of or damage to personal property in the open or personal property in a vehicle); and

(c) Any area within the building or at the described premises, if that area services, or is used to gain access to, the portion of the building which you rent, lease or occupy.

(2) Extra Expense means incurred:

(a) To avoid or minimize the suspension of business and to continue “operations”:

(i) At the described premises; or

(ii) At replacement premises or at temporary locations, including relocation expenses, and costs to equip and operate the replacement or temporary locations.

(b) To minimize the suspension of business if you cannot continue “operations”.

(c) To:

(i) Repair or replace any property; or

(ii) Research, replace or restore the lost information on damaged “valuable papers and records”; to the extent it reduces the amount of loss that otherwise would have been payable under this Additional Coverage or Additional Coverage **f. Business Income**.

(3) With respect to the coverage provided in this Additional Coverage, suspension means:

(a) The partial slowdown or complete cessation of your business activities; or

(b) That a part or all of the described premises is rendered untenable, if coverage for Business Income applies.

(4) We will only pay for Extra Expense that occurs within 12 consecutive months after the date of direct physical loss or damage. This Additional Coverage is not subject to the Limits of Insurance of Section I – Property.

* * *

i. Civil Authority

When a Covered Cause of Loss causes damage to property other than property at the described premises, we will pay for the actual loss of Business Income you sustain and necessary Extra Expense caused by action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises, provided that both of the following apply:

(1) Access to the area immediately surrounding the damaged property is prohibited by civil authority as a result of the damage, and the described premises are within that area but are not more than one mile from the damaged property; and

(2) The action of civil authority is taken in response to dangerous physical conditions resulting from the damage or continuation of the Covered Cause of Loss that caused the damage, or the action is taken to enable a civil authority to have unimpeded access to the damaged property.

Civil Authority Coverage for Business Income will begin 72 hours after the time of the first action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises and will apply for a period of up to four consecutive weeks from the date on which such coverage began.

Civil Authority Coverage for necessary Extra Expense will begin immediately after the time of the first action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises and will end:

(1) Four consecutive weeks after the date of that action;
or

(2) When your Civil Authority Coverage for Business Income ends;

whichever is later.

The definitions of Business Income and Extra Expense contained in the Business Income and Extra Expense Additional Coverages also apply to this Civil Authority Additional Coverage. The Civil Authority Additional Coverage is not subject to the Limits of Insurance of Section I – Property.

33. Plaintiff and all similarly situated Class members have suffered a direct physical loss of and damage to their property because they have been unable to use their property for its intended purpose.

34. The Policy does not contain any reference to pandemic or exclusions for pandemics. The exclusion of loss due to “virus or bacteria” contained in the form BP 00 03 07 13 is not applicable because Plaintiff’s, and other class members’ losses, were not caused by any of the actions set forth in the endorsement, and/or because other provisions make clear such exclusion is not applicable to certain losses, including food contamination losses. Rather, the efficient proximate cause of Plaintiff’s, and other Class members’ losses, were measures taken by state officials in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The COVID-19 Pandemic Has Affected Policyholders Nationwide

35. The COVID-19 pandemic, including the actions taken by state officials, is physically impacting private commercial property throughout the United States, and threatening the survival of thousands of restaurants, retail establishments, and other businesses that have had their business operations suspended or curtailed indefinitely by order of civil authorities.

36. No insurer intends to cover any losses caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

37. For example, a bipartisan group from the U.S. House of Representatives sent a letter to various insurance industry trade groups on March 18, 2020, requesting that their members recognize financial losses relating to COVID-19 under the standard commercial interruption coverage. Specifically, the letter noted that “shelter-in-place” orders to combat COVID-19 throughout the country would have an economic impact on America’s businesses, some of which had already “been forced to send employees home or shutter their doors due to a loss of economic activity.” The letter stated “business interruption insurance is intended to protect businesses against income losses as a result of disruptions to their operations and recognizing income losses due to COVID-19 will help sustain America’s businesses through these turbulent times, keep their

doors open, and retain employees on the payroll.”²⁷ In response, the industry trade groups stated: “Business interruption policies do not, and were not designed to, provide coverage against communicable diseases such as COVID-19.”²⁸ Upon information and belief, Defendants belong to and support the trade groups’ position.

38. In addition, many state departments of insurance have issued advisories to business owners that COVID-19 is not an insured peril and there will be no coverage for business interruption. This is disinformation being published to discourage business owners from filing claims.

39. For instance, Arkansas Insurance Department Bulletin No. 9-2020 states that “In most BII policies, coverage is triggered when the policyholder sustains *physical damage to insured property* caused by a covered peril resulting in quantifiable business interruption loss . . . [v]iruses and disease are typically NOT an insured peril unless added by endorsement.” (Emphasis in the original).²⁹

40. The South Carolina Department of Insurance issued “Guidance” on business interruption insurance stating that under the business income policy, there likely is no coverage from losses resulting from a virus.³⁰

²⁷ See U.S. Congressman Joe Cunningham, https://cunningham.house.gov/sites/cunningham.house.gov/files/wysiwyg_uploaded/Signed%20BII%20Letter_Final.pdf (last visited May 11, 2020).

²⁸ See Insurance Journal, <https://www.insurancejournal.com/news/national/2020/03/20/561810.htm> (last visited May 11, 2020).

²⁹ See Arkansas Insurance Department, <https://insurance.arkansas.gov/uploads/resource/documents/9-2020.pdf> (last visited May 11, 2020).

³⁰ See South Carolina Department of Insurance, <https://www.doi.sc.gov/948/COVID-19> (last visited May 11, 2020).

41. Members of the insurance industry have also been actively advising Insurance Commissioners that they do not intend to provide coverage for business interruption related to COVID-19. As a result, many small businesses that maintain commercial multi-peril insurance policies with business interruption coverage will have significant uninsured losses because the insurance industry is stating that such policies do not cover COVID-19.

42. For instance, the State of Connecticut Insurance Department, Maryland Insurance Administration, and the West Virginia Office of the Insurance Commissioner issued nearly identical notices supporting the insurance companies' reasons for denying business interruption claims, stating that the potential loss costs from such perils [like COVID-19] are so extreme that providing coverage would jeopardize the financial solvency of property insurers.³¹

43. John F. King, Insurance and Safety Fire Commission for the State of Georgia issued Bulletin 20-EX-3 stating that losses from COVID-19 are excluded losses.³² Vicki Schmidt, Kansas Insurance Department Commission issued a similar Bulletin stating it was her "understanding that it is unlikely that a business policy would cover losses related to COVID-19."³³

44. Other state governments expect that insurance companies will breach their obligation to provide coverage for business losses due to the COVID-19 pandemic and have

³¹ See State of Connecticut Insurance Department, <https://portal.ct.gov/CID/Coronavirus/Business-Interruption-Insurance-Notice> (last visited May 11, 2020); Maryland Insurance Administration, <https://insurance.maryland.gov/Pages/newscenter/NewsDetails.aspx?NR=2020256> (last visited May 11, 2020); State of West Virginia, Offices of the Insurance Commissioner, <https://www.wvinsurance.gov/Portals/0/pdf/pressrelease/20-08%20Business%20Interruption%20Insurance.pdf?ver=2020-03-26-222830-620> (last visited May 11, 2020).

³² See State of Georgia, Office of Insurance and Safety Fire Commissioner, <https://www.oci.ga.gov/ExternalResources/Announcements/Bulletin-3172020-1619.pdf> (last visited May 11, 2020).

³³ See Kansas Insurance Department, <https://insurance.ks.gov/documents/department/COVID19-FAQ.pdf> (last visited May 11, 2020).

introduced bills requiring every insurance policy insuring against loss or damage to property, which includes the loss of use and occupancy and business interruption, be construed to include, among other covered perils, coverage for business interruption because of global virus transmission or pandemic.³⁴

45. A declaratory judgment determining that the business income loss, civil authority loss, and extra expense coverage provided in common all-risk commercial property insurance policies applies to the suspension, curtailment, and interruption of business operations resulting from measures put into place by civil authorities is necessary to prevent the Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Class members from being denied critical coverage for which they have paid.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

46. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of itself and all other persons similarly situated.

47. The Multi-State Class is defined as:

All entities who have entered into standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies with Defendants insuring property in New York, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, California, Washington, Colorado, Arizona, Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, Illinois, Missouri, and Texas, where such policies provide for business income loss and extra expense coverage and do not exclude coverage for pandemics, and who have suffered losses due to measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020.

48. The New York Sub-Class is defined as:

All entities who have entered into standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies with Defendants insuring property in New York, where such policies provide for business income loss and extra expense coverage and do not exclude coverage for pandemics, and who have suffered losses due to measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020.

³⁴ See House Bill No. 858, State of Louisiana House of Representatives. Similar legislation has been introduced in Massachusetts (Senate Bill Senate Docket No. 2888); New Jersey (Assembly No. 3844); Sate of New Jersey (Assembly 10226); and Ohio (House Bill No. 589).

Excluded from each class are the Defendants, its employees, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs, successors, and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliated companies; Class Counsel and their employees; and the judicial officers and their immediate family members and associated court staff assigned to this case.

49. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify, expand, or amend the definitions of the proposed classes following the discovery period and before the Court determines whether class certification is appropriate.

50. Certification of Plaintiff's claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because Plaintiff can prove the elements of its claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as would prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.

A. Numerosity

51. This action satisfies the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). The Class numbers at least in the hundreds and consists of geographically dispersed business entities who are insured for business interruption losses. Defendants sell their insurance policies in Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin, and therefore joinder of the Class members is impracticable.

52. The identity of Class members is ascertainable, as the names and addresses of all Class members can be identified by Defendants or their agent's books and records. Plaintiff anticipates providing appropriate notice to the certified Class in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(A) and/or (B), to be approved by the Court after class certification, or pursuant to court order under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(d).

B. Typicality

53. This action satisfies the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3) because Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of each of the Class members, as all Class members were and are similarly affected and their claims arise from the same all-risk commercial property insurance policy provisions entered into with Defendants. Each Class member's insurance policy contains the same form providing coverage for business income loss. None of the forms exclude coverage due to a governmental action intended to reduce the effect of the ongoing global pandemic. As a result, a declaratory judgment as to the rights and obligations under Plaintiff's Policy will address the rights and obligations of all Class members.

C. Adequacy of Representation

54. Plaintiff is committed to prosecuting the action, will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class, and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class action litigation, including litigation relating to insurance policies. Plaintiff is aware of no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with other members of the Class. Plaintiff anticipates no difficulty in the management of this litigation as a class action.

D. Commonality

55. This action satisfies the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) because there are questions of law and fact that are common to each of the classes. These common questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. The questions of law and fact common to the Class include, but are not limited to:

(a) Whether there is an actual controversy between Plaintiff and Defendants as to the rights, duties, responsibilities and obligations of the parties under the business interruption coverage provisions in standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies;

(b) Whether measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic are excluded from Plaintiff's and the Class members' standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies;

(c) Whether the measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020 caused physical loss or damage to covered commercial property;

(d) Whether Defendants have repudiated and anticipatorily breached the all-risk commercial property insurance policies it issued with business interruption coverage by intending to deny claims for coverage; and

(e) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members suffered damages as a result of the anticipatory breach by Defendants.

E. Superiority/Predominance

56. This action satisfies the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3). A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the rights of the Class members. The joinder of individual Class members is impracticable because of the vast number of Class members who have entered into the standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies with Defendants.

57. Because a declaratory judgment as to the rights and obligations under the uniform all-risk commercial property insurance policies will apply to all Class members, most or all Class members would have no rational economic interest in individually controlling the prosecution of specific actions. The burden imposed on the judicial system by individual litigation, and to Defendants, by even a small fraction of the Class members, would be substantial.

58. In comparison to piecemeal litigation, class action litigation presents far fewer management difficulties, far better conserves the resources of both the judiciary and the parties, and far more effectively protects the rights of each Class member. The benefits to the legitimate

interests of the parties, the Court, and the public resulting from class action litigation substantially outweigh the expenses, burdens, inconsistencies, economic infeasibility, and inefficiencies of individualized litigation. Class adjudication is superior to other alternatives under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)(D). Class treatment will also avoid the substantial risk of inconsistent factual and legal determinations on the many issues in this lawsuit.

59. Plaintiff knows of no obstacles likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action. Rule 23 provides the Court with the authority and flexibility to maximize the efficiencies and benefits of the class mechanism and reduce management challenges. The Court may, on motion of Plaintiff or on its own determination, certify nationwide and statewide classes for claims sharing common legal questions; use the provisions of Rule 23(c)(4) to certify particular claims, issues, or common questions of law or of fact for class-wide adjudication; certify and adjudicate bellwether class claims; and use Rule 23(c)(5) to divide any Class into subclasses.

COUNT I

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – BUSINESS INCOME COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

60. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-61 as if fully set forth herein.

61. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass.

62. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policies.

63. On information and belief, Plaintiff and other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms and have wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiff and Class members are entitled.

64. On information and belief, Defendants have denied claims related to COVID-19 on a uniform and class-wide basis, even in circumstances where they claim to have conducted an "investigation," so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

65. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiff's and the other Class members' rights and Defendants' obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiff and Class members for the full amount of Business Income losses incurred by Plaintiff and the other Class members in connection with the suspension of their businesses stemming from Closure Orders.

66. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201, Plaintiff and the other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

(a) Plaintiff's and the other Class members' Business Income losses incurred in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders are insured losses under their Policies; and

(b) Defendants are obligated to pay Plaintiff and other Class members for the full amount of the Business Income losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the Closure Orders during the period of restoration and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders.

COUNT II

BREACH OF CONTRACT – BUSINESS INCOME COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

67. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-68 as if fully set forth herein.

68. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass.

69. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by their Policies.

70. In the Policies, Defendants agreed to pay for their insureds' actual loss of Business Income sustained due to the necessary suspension of their operations during the "period of restoration."

71. In the Business Income policy, Defendants agreed to pay for their insureds' actual loss of Business Income sustained due to the necessary "suspension of [their] operations" during the "period of restoration" caused by direct physical loss or damage.

72. The Closure Orders caused direct physical loss and damage to Plaintiff's and the other Class members' Covered Properties, requiring suspension of operations at the Covered Properties. Losses caused by the Closure Orders thus triggered the Business Income provision of Plaintiff's and the other Class members' Policies.

73. On information and belief, Plaintiff and the other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of their policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms.

74. By denying coverage for any Business Income losses incurred by Plaintiff and other Class members as a result of the Closure Orders and Orders in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, Defendants have breached their coverage obligations under the Policies.

75. As a result of Defendants' breaches of the Policies, Plaintiff and the other Class members have sustained substantial damages for which Defendants are liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

COUNT III

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – CIVIL AUTHORITY COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

76. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-77 as if fully set forth herein.

77. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass.

78. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and other Class members' losses for claims covered by their Policies.

79. Plaintiff and Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policy's clear and unambiguous terms and have wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiff and Class members are entitled.

80. On information and belief, Defendants have denied claims related to COVID-19 on a uniform and class wide basis, even in circumstances where they claim to have conducted an

“investigation,” so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

81. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiff’s and other Class members’ rights and Defendants’ obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiff and other Class members for the full amount of covered Civil Authority losses incurred by Plaintiff and other Class members in connection with Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from the Orders in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

82. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201, Plaintiff and other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

(a) Plaintiff’s and other Class members’ Civil Authority losses incurred in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from the COVID-19 pandemic are insured losses under their Policies; and

(b) Defendants are obligated to pay Plaintiff and other Class members the full amount of the Civil Authority losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the covered losses related to the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders.

COUNT IV

BREACH OF CONTRACT – CIVIL AUTHORITY COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

83. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-84 as if fully set forth herein.

84. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass.

85. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by their Policies.

86. The Policies provide "Civil Authority" coverage, which promises to pay "the actual loss of Business Income you sustain and necessary Extra Expense caused by action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises, provided that . . . (1) [a]ccess to the area immediately surrounding the damaged property is prohibited by civil authority as a result of the damage . . . and (2) [t]he action of civil authority is taken in response to dangerous physical conditions resulting from the damage or continuation of the Covered Cause of Loss that caused the damage. . . ."

87. The Closure Orders triggered the Civil Authority provision under Plaintiff's and the other members of the Class's Policies.

88. On information and belief, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms.

89. By denying coverage for any business losses incurred by Plaintiff and other members of the Class in connection with the Closure Orders and Orders in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, Defendants have breached their coverage obligations under the Policies.

90. As a result of Defendants' breaches of the Policies, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have sustained substantial damages for which Defendants are liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

COUNT V

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – EXTRA EXPENSE COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

91. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-92 as if fully set forth herein.

92. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and the New York Subclass.

93. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and other Class members' losses for claims covered by their Policies.

94. On information and belief, Plaintiff and other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms and have wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiff and Class members are entitled.

95. On information and belief, Defendants have denied claims related to COVID-19 on a uniform and class wide basis, even in circumstances where they claim to have conducted an "investigation," so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

96. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiff's and other Class members' rights and Defendants' obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiff and the other Class members for the full amount of Extra Expense losses incurred by Plaintiff and Class members in

connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from Orders in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

97. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201, Plaintiff and other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

(a) Plaintiff's and other Class members' Extra Expense losses incurred in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders are insured losses under their Policies; and

(b) Defendants are obligated to pay Plaintiff and other Class members for the full amount of the Extra Expense losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the covered losses related to the Closure Orders during the period of restoration and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders.

COUNT VI

BREACH OF CONTRACT – EXTRA EXPENSE COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

98. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-99 as if fully set forth herein.

99. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass.

100. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by their Policies.

101. In the Extra Expense policy, Defendants also agreed to pay necessary Extra Expense "incur[red] during the 'period of restoration' that [] would not have incurred if there had been no direct physical loss or damage to property at the described premises."

102. Due to the Closure Orders, Plaintiff and other members of the Class incurred Extra Expense at Covered Property.

103. On information and belief, Plaintiff and other members of the Class have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms.

104. By denying coverage for any business losses incurred by Plaintiff and other members of the Class in connection with the Closure Orders and Orders intended in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, Defendants have breached their coverage obligations under the Policies.

105. As a result of Defendants' breaches of the Policies, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have sustained substantial damages for which Defendants are liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of itself and all similarly situated individuals, demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

A. Declaring this action to be a proper class action maintainable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(3) and declaring Plaintiff and its counsel to be representatives of the Class;

B. Issuing a Declaratory Judgment declaring the Parties' rights and obligations under the insurance policies;

C. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class compensatory damages from Defendants' breach of the insurance policies in an amount to be determined at trial, together with appropriate prejudgment interest at the maximum rate allowable by law;

D. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class costs and disbursements and reasonable allowances for the fees of Plaintiff's and the Class's counsel and experts, and reimbursement of expenses; and

E. Awarding such other and further relief the Court deems just, proper, and equitable.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff and the Class request a jury trial for all Counts for which a trial by jury is permitted by law.

DATED: May 15, 2020

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
SAMUEL H. RUDMAN
MARK S. REICH

/s/ Samuel H. Rudman

SAMUEL H. RUDMAN

58 South Service Road, Suite 200
Melville, NY 11747
Telephone: 631/367-7100
srudman@rgrdlaw.com
mreich@rgrdlaw.com

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
RACHEL L. JENSEN
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619/231-1058
619/231-7423 (fax)
rachelj@rgrdlaw.com

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
PAUL J. GELLER
STUART A. DAVIDSON
120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500
Boca Raton, FL 33432
Telephone: 561/750-3000
561/750-3364 (fax)
pgeller@rgrdlaw.com
sdavidson@rgrdlaw.com

CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI
OLSTEIN, BRODY & AGNELLO
JAMES E. CECCHI
LINDSEY H. TAYLOR
5 Becker Farm Road
Roseland, NJ 07068
Telephone: 973/994-1700
jcecchi@carellabyrne.com
ltaylor@carellabyrne.com

SEEGER WEISS
CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
STEPHEN A. WEISS
55 Challenger Road, 6th Floor
Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660
Telephone: 973/639-9100
cseeger@seegerweiss.com
sweiss@seegerweiss.com

ZWERLING, SCHACHTER &
ZWERLING, LLP
ROBERT SCHACHTER
41 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: 212/223-3900
212/371-5969 (fax)
rschachter@zsz.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff