

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

RONALD A. MIKKELSON DDS, individually
and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE
COMPANY,

Defendant.

No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

I. INTRODUCTION

The defendant Aspen American Insurance Company (“Aspen” or “Defendant”) insures the plaintiff Ronald A. Mikkelson DDS (“Mikkelson”), a family-owned, small business dentistry practice. Due to COVID-19, Mikkelson cannot provide routine dentistry services. Mikkelson intended to rely on its business insurance to keep the business alive. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Mikkelson and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the insurance benefits for which they paid.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1
2 1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness
3 Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one Class member is of diverse citizenship
4 from Defendant, there are 100 or more Class members nationwide, and the aggregate amount in
5 controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state
6 law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

7
8 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3) because the
9 Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, a substantial portion the alleged wrongdoing
10 occurred in this District and the state of Washington, and Defendant has sufficient contacts with
11 this District and the state of Washington.

12 3. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
13 § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at
14 issue in this Complaint arose in this District. Plaintiff's place of business is located in Olympia,
15 WA, Thurston County. This action is therefore appropriately filed in the Tacoma Division
16 because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this lawsuit arose in Thurston County.

III. PARTIES

17
18
19 4. Plaintiff Ronald A. Mikkelson, DDS, operates a family dentistry practice located
20 at 140 North Percival St., Suite B, Olympia WA 98502.

21 5. Defendant Aspen American Insurance Company is an insurance carrier
22 incorporated and domiciled in the State of Texas, with its principal place of business in Rocky
23 Hill, Connecticut.

IV. ALLEGATIONS OF FACTS

24
25
26 6. Mikkelson is a policyholder of an "all risk" policy issued by Aspen.

1 7. The Policy was in effect at all relevant times.

2 8. Mikkelson paid all premiums for the coverage when due.

3 9. The Policy insures Mikkelson's business property.

4 10. Mikkelson's business property includes property owned and leased by Mikkelson
5 and used for general business purposes for the specific purpose of dentistry and other activities.

6 11. Aspen provided coverage for "DIRECT PHYSICAL LOSS" to covered property.

7 12. This coverage includes coverage for risks of both damage to and loss of covered
8 property.
9

10 13. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of
11 persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.

12 14. In light of this pandemic, the American Dental Association called upon dentists
13 to postpone all elective procedures.

14 15. Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued certain proclamations and orders
15 affecting many persons and businesses in Washington, whether infected with COVID-19 or not,
16 requiring certain public health precautions.
17

18 16. By order of Governor Inslee, dentists including Mikkelson were prohibited from
19 practicing dentistry but for urgent and emergency procedures.

20 17. Mikkelson's property sustained direct physical loss or damage as a result of the
21 proclamations and orders.

22 18. Mikkelson's property will continue to sustain direct physical loss or damage
23 covered by the Aspen policy or policies, including but not limited to business interruption, extra
24 expense, interruption by civil authority, and other expenses.
25

26 19. Mikkelson's property cannot be used for its intended purposes.

1 minimize losses from the suspension of their practice due to COVID-19 at the covered
2 premises.

3 24. Excluded from the Class are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the
4 judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family
5 members of such officers and staff. Mikkelson reserves the right to amend the Class definition
6 based on information obtained in discovery.

7
8 25. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under
9 the criteria of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

10 26. **Numerosity:** The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all
11 members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed Class
12 contains thousands of members. The precise number of class members can be ascertained
13 through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.

14 27. **Commonality and Predominance:** Common questions of law and fact
15 predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common
16 questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

17
18 A. Whether the class members suffered covered losses based on common
19 policies issued to members of the Class;

20 B. Whether Aspen acted in a manner common to the class and wrongfully
21 denied claims for coverage arising from COVID-19;

22 C. Whether Practice Income coverage in Aspen's policies of insurance
23 applies to a suspension of practice caused by COVID-19;

24 D. Whether Aspen's Extra Expense coverage applies to efforts to minimize a
25 loss caused by COVID-19;
26

1 E. Whether Aspen has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket
2 denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to
3 COVID-19.

4 F. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Mikkelson and the class
5 members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate amount thereof; and

6 G. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Mikkelson and the class
7 members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such
8 relief.
9

10 28. **Typicality:** Mikkelson's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the
11 classes. Mikkelson and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful
12 practices of Defendant. Mikkelson's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct
13 that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the same legal theories.

14 29. **Adequacy:** Mikkelson will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of
15 the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class
16 actions. Neither Mikkelson nor their attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with
17 the Class.
18

19 30. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), the Risk of Inconsistent or**
20 **Varying Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests:** Plaintiff seeks
21 adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are
22 common to all members of the class. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members
23 of the classes would risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create
24 inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant. The policy interpretations sought by Plaintiff
25 could also impair the ability of absent class members to protect their interests.
26

COUNT TWO —

Breach of Contract

(Claim brought on behalf of claimants that were denied coverage)

37. The Policy is a contract under which Mikkelson paid premiums to Aspen in exchange for Aspen's promise to pay Mikkelson for all claims covered by the Policy.

38. Mikkelson has paid its insurance premiums.

39. Mikkelson will soon file a claim for its loss covered by the Policy. Upon information and belief, Aspen has denied coverage for other similarly situated policyholders and will deny Mikkelson's claim.

40. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.

41. Mikkelson is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by Aspen.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

1. A declaratory judgment that the policy or policies cover the plaintiff's losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of the plaintiff's business by COVID-19.

2. A declaratory judgment that the defendant is responsible for timely and fully paying all such losses.

3. Damages.

4. Pre-judgment interest.

5. Reasonable attorney fees and costs.

6. Such further and other relief as the Court shall deem appropriate.

VIII. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all claims so triable.

DATED this 20th day of April, 2020.

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

By: s/ Ian S. Birk

By: s/ Lynn L. Sarko

By: s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio

By: s/ Irene M. Hecht

By: s/ Nathan L. Nanfelt

Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431
Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569
Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576
Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063
Nathan L. Nanfelt, WSBA #45273
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 623-1900
Fax: (206) 623-3384
Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com
Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com
Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com
Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com
Email: nnanfelt@kellerrohrback.com

By: s/ Alison Chase

Alison Chase, *pro hac vice forthcoming*
801 Garden Street, Suite 301
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Telephone: (805) 456-1496
Fax: (805) 456-1497
Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26